



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

| | | | | |
|------------|------------|----------------------------|--------|------|
| 09/293,266 | 04/16/1999 | RAYMOND WALDEN BENNETT III | A00513 | 4651 |
|------------|------------|----------------------------|--------|------|

757 7590 05/22/2002

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
P.O. BOX 10395
CHICAGO, IL 60610

EXAMINER

AGDEPPA, HECTOR A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2642

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/293,266

Applicant(s)

BENNETT III ET AL.

Examiner

Hector A. Agdeppa

Art Unit

2642

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hector A. Agdeppa.

(3) _____.

(2) Jason C. White.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 May 2002.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US Pat 6,049,594 (Furman et al.).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Response filed 3/22/02 by attorney of record, Jason C. White was clarified in this telephonic interview and is persuasive. The Final Office Action filed 1/15/02 will be withdrawn and a new Non-Final Office Action will be issued.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Hector A. Agdeppa

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required